

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/675,538	09/30/2003	Curtiss A. Grau	WAB 03222-A 6086		
7.	7590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER		
JAMES RAY & ASSOCIATES			LE, MARK T		
2640 Pitcairn R Monroeville, F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3617		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)	$-\Lambda$				
		10/675,538		GRAU ET AL.	/\				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mark T. Le		3617	\mathcal{A}				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory m will apply and will expir y, cause the application	wever, may a reply be time ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		eration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-3, 14-15</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	2 r							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	85 II S.C. & 119(a).	-(d) or (f)					
· ·	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i phonty under c	3 0.0.0. § 110(a)	(d) 01 (l).					
a)		ts have heen red	ceived						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Burea				3				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			·						
Attachmer	nt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary (
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	, 5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Dai Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-1	52)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:		,				
L. U.S. Patent and PTOL-326 (F	Trademark Office Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date	07112004				

Application/Control Number: 10/675,538

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the present invention should not be compared with the prior art. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The wording in claim 11 is not understood because it does not appear to be consistent with the features of the present invention. Furthermore, "said recess surface" lacks antecedent basis.

- 3. Due to the nature of indefiniteness of claim 11, claim 11 cannot be further treated on the merits.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8-10 and 12-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rumsey (US 6,321,922).

Rumsey discloses an emergency release mechanism, as recited in the instant claims, including bolt 22 provided with a bolt head and a two sided threaded stud extended therefrom; wherein, said two sided threaded stud comprises a larger threaded portion and a smaller threaded portion; and element 24 forming a nut

Art Unit: 3617

screwed onto the second threaded end or the larger threaded portion of the two sided threaded stud.

Regarding claim 6, consider the bolt head of bolt 22 of Rumsey, which constitutes a wrench interface as recited in the instant claim.

Regarding the instant claimed recess as recited in instant claim 10, consider recess 26 of Rumsey.

6. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 8-10, 12-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herbert (US 3,351,214).

Herbert discloses an emergency release mechanism as recited in the instant claims, including two sided threaded stud 21 provided with dowel extension 28 and retaining wire opening 32, and nut 20 screwed onto the second threaded end of stud 21.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/675,538

Art Unit: 3617

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rumsey (US 6,321,922) in view of Dinitz (US 6,056,471).

Regarding the instant claimed threaded bar screwed into the single threaded stud, as recited in instant claim 5, consider two different alternative threaded bars at the bottoms of Figures 1 and 2 of Dinitz, which can be made integral or separate from the corresponding upper studs.

In view of Dinitz, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to alternatively make to the first end threaded portion of Rumsey as a separate threaded bar screwed into the tapped opening of the single upper stud, in a manner similar to that shown in Figure 1 of Dinitz, so as to achieve conveniences/flexibilities in the manufacturing or application of the stud.

- 9. Claims 2-3 and 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant should further consider the structure of Dilg.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Le whose telephone number is 703-308-3663. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,538

Art Unit: 3617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

> Mark T. Le **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3617

mle 7/11/04